arXiv:0910.2063v1 [math.DG] 12 Oct 2009

Universal Inequalities for Eigenvalues of the Buckling Problem of Arbitrary Order

Jürgen Jost, Xianqing Li-Jost, Qiaoling Wang, Changyu Xia

Abstract

We investigate the eigenvalues of the buckling problem of arbitrary order on compact domains in Euclidean spaces and spheres. We obtain universal bounds for the kth eigenvalue in terms of the lower eigenvalues independently of the particular geometry of the domain.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a connected bounded domain with smooth boundary in an $n(\geq 2)$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let ν be the outward unit normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. Denote by Δ the Laplacian operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let us consider the following well-known eigenvalue problems :

$$\Delta u = -\lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\Delta^2 u = \eta u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\Delta^2 u = -\Lambda \Delta u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{1.3}$$

They are called the *fixed membrane problem*; the *clamped plate problem* and the *bucking problem*, respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots, \\ &0 < \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots, \\ &0 < \Lambda_1 \leq \Lambda_2 \leq \Lambda_3 \leq \cdots \end{aligned}$$

denote the successive eigenvalues for (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), respectively. Here each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity. Deriving bounds for these (and other) eigenvalues is an important theme of mathematical analysis. In most cases, eigenvalues are controlled by the geometry of the underlying domain, the n-dimensional ball often representing an extremal case. On the other hand, it has been found that one can also control higher eigenvalues in terms of lower ones, completely independently of the geometry of the domain (apart from its dimension). Such eigenvalue bounds are called universal. Universal bounds for the eigenvalues λ_{k+1}, η_{k+1} and Λ_{k+1} have been derived by many mathematicians, and we shall now recall the pertinent results. Payne, Pólya and Weinberger ([PPW1], [PPW2]) proved the bound

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{2}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{1.4}$$

for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. This result easily extends to $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \leq \frac{4}{kn} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, \tag{1.5}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 35P15, 53C20, 53C42, 58G25 Key words and phrases: Universal bounds, eigenvalues, buckling problem, Euclidean space, sphere.

In 1980, Hile and Protter [HP] proved

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i} \geq \frac{kn}{4}, \quad \text{for } k=1,2,\cdots. \tag{1.6}$$

In 1991, Yang proved the following much stronger inequality [Y]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_i)\left(\lambda_{k+1}-\left(1+\frac{4}{n}\right)\lambda_i\right) \leq 0, \quad \text{for } k=1,2,\cdots. \tag{1.7}$$

The inequality (1.7) is the strongest of the classical inequalities that are derived following the scheme devised by Payne-Pólya-Weinberger. Yang's inequality provided a marked improvement for eigenvalues of large index. It should be also mentioned that the development of Yang's inequality came to fruition only thanks to the work of M. S. Ashbaugh [A2] and that of Harrell-Stubbe [HS]. In fact, it was Harrell-Stubbe who first explained the key commutator facts behind the "trick" introduced by H. C. Yang in the traditional Payne-Pólya-Weinberger scheme and introduced the Yang inequality to the mathematical physics and geometry community. This trick was explained in further work of Ashbaugh (and later in the work of Ashbaugh-Hermi [AH1], [AH2]) as an instance of the use of the "optimal Cauchy-Schwarz" inequality. It was Ashbaugh who dubbed it the "Yang inequality". The optimal Cauchy-Schwarz trick is what enabled Cheng-Yang [CY2] and Wang-Xia [WX1] to extend the earlier work of H. C. Yang to the case of the clamped plate problem for bounded domains of Euclidean space and of minimal submanifolds of the same space, respectively. This is the trick that makes all extensions à la H. C. Yang. The arguments around this trick were later generalized by Harrell [H], Harrell-Michel [HM1], [HM2] and Levitin-Parnovski [LP], following the commutator method via Rayleigh-Ritz.

Consider now the problem (1.3) which is used to describe the critical buckling load of a clamped plate subjected to a uniform compressive force around its boundary. In 1956, Payne, Pólya and Weinberger proposed in [PPW2] the following

Problem 1. *Can one obtain a universal inequality for the eigenvalues of the buckling problem (1.3) that is similar to the universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of the fixed membrane problem (1.1) ?*

Ashbaugh [A1] mentioned this problem again. With respect to the above problem, Payne, Pólya and Weinberger proved

$$\Lambda_2/\Lambda_1 < 3 \quad \text{for } \Omega \subset I\!R^2.$$

For $\Omega \subset I\!R^n$ this reads

$$\Lambda_2/\Lambda_1 < 1 + 4/n.$$

Subsequently Hile and Yeh [HY] reconsidered this problem obtaining the improved bound

$$\frac{\Lambda_2}{\Lambda_1} \leq \frac{n^2+8n+20}{(n+2)^2} \qquad \text{for } \Omega \subset I\!R^n.$$

Ashbaugh [A1] proved :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i+1} \leq (n+4)\Lambda_1. \tag{1.8}$$

Recently, Cheng and Yang introduced a new method to construct trial functions for the problem (1.3) and obtained the following universal inequality [CY3]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2 \leq \frac{4(n+2)}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\Lambda_i. \tag{1.9}$$

It has been proved in [WX2] that for the problem (1.3) if Ω is a bounded connected domain in an n-dimensional unit sphere, then the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned}(1.10)\qquad & 2\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\\ \leq\ & \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(\delta\Lambda_i+\frac{\delta^2(\Lambda_i-(n-2))}{4(\delta\Lambda_i+n-2)}\right)+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where δ is any positive constant.

In this paper, we will investigate the eigenvalues of the buckling problem of higher order:

$$(1.11)\qquad \begin{aligned}&(-\Delta)^l u=-\Lambda\Delta u \quad \text{in } \ \Omega,\\ &u|_{\partial\Omega}=\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right|_{\partial\Omega}=\cdots=\left.\frac{\partial^{l-1}u}{\partial\nu^{l-1}}\right|_{\partial\Omega}=0,\end{aligned}$$

where Ω is a connected bounded domain in a Euclidean space or a unit sphere and l is any integer no less than 2.

For the eigenvalues of the problem (1.11), Chen-Qian([CQ]) obtained some upper bounds on the kth eigenvalue in terms of the lower ones when k is small and Ω is contained in a Euclidean space. To the authors' knowledge, there are no universal inequalities on Λ_k in terms of $\Lambda_1,\cdots,\Lambda_{k-1}$ for general k. The purpose of this paper is to prove such inequalities. Namely, we will prove

Theorem 1.1. *Let $l\geq 2$ and let Λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the following eigenvalue problem:*

$$(1.12)\qquad \begin{aligned}&(-\Delta)^l u=-\Lambda\Delta u \quad \text{in } \ \Omega,\\ &u|_{\partial\Omega}=\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right|_{\partial\Omega}=\cdots=\left.\frac{\partial^{l-1}u}{\partial\nu^{l-1}}\right|_{\partial\Omega}=0.\end{aligned}$$

where Ω is a connected bounded domain in an n-dimensional Euclidean space with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and ν is the unit outward normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. Then for $k=1,\cdots,$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1.13)\quad & \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\\ \leq\ & \frac{2(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)^{1/2}}{n}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\right\}^{1/2}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}\right\}^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Remark. If we take $l=2$ in Theorem 1.1, then we obtain Cheng-Yang's inequality (1.9).

From Theorem 1, we can obtain more explicit inequalities which are weaker than (1.13):

Corollary 1.1. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(1.14)\qquad \Lambda_{k+1}\ \leq\ & \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i+\frac{2(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)}{k^2n^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}\right)\\ & +\left\{\left(\frac{2(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)}{k^2n^2}\right)^2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\right)^2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}\right)^2\right.\\ & \left.-\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\Lambda_i-\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\lambda_j\right)^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(1.15)\qquad \Lambda_{k+1} \leq\ & \left(1+\frac{2(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)}{n^2}\right)\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i \\ & +\left\{\left(\frac{2(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)}{n^2}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i\right)^2\right. \\ & \left.-\left(1+\frac{4(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)}{n^2}\right)\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\Lambda_i-\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\Lambda_j\right)^2\right\}^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

We then prove the following universal inequalities for eigenvalues of the buckling problem of higher orders on spherical domains.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $l \geq 2$ and let Λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the following eigenvalue problem:*

$$(1.16)\qquad \begin{aligned}&(-\Delta)^l u = -\Lambda\Delta u \quad \text{in} \ \ \Omega,\\ &u|_{\partial\Omega} = \left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = \cdots = \left.\frac{\partial^{l-1}u}{\partial\nu^{l-1}}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

where Ω is a connected bounded domain in an n-dimensional Euclidean sphere with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and ν is the unit outward normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. For each $q = 0, 1, \cdots$, define the polynomials F_q and G_q inductively by

$$(1.17)\qquad F_0 = G_0 = 1,\ F_1(t) = t-(n+2),\ G_1(t) = 3t+n-2,$$

$$(1.18)\qquad F_q(t) = (2t-2)F_{q-1}(t)-(t^2+2t-n(n-2))F_{q-2}(t),$$

$$(1.19)\qquad G_q(t) = (2t-2)G_{q-1}(t)-(t^2+2t-n(n-2))G_{q-2}(t), \quad q = 2, \cdots$$

Set

$$(1.20)\qquad tF_{l-2}(t)-G_{l-2}(t) = t^{l-1}+a_{l-2}t^{l-2}+\cdots+a_1t+a_0.$$

Let δ be any positive number and k be a positive integer. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1.21)\qquad & \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(2+\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}\right)\\ \leq\ & \delta\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2H_i+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.22)\qquad H_i = \Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}\left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{l-2}|a_j|\Lambda_i^{j/(l-1)}$$

Remark. When $l = 2$, it is easy to see that

$$H_i = 1+\Lambda_i\left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda_i-(n-2)}\right)$$

and so the inequality (1.21) in this case can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(1.23)\qquad & 2\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2 \\ \leq\ & \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(\delta+\delta\Lambda_i\left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda_i-(n-2)}\right)-\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i-(n-2)}\right) \\ & +\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right),\end{aligned}$$

Observe that (1.23) is sharper than (1.10) since for any $\delta>0$, we have

$$\delta+\delta\Lambda_i\left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda_i-(n-2)}\right)-\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i-(n-2)}\leq\delta\Lambda_i+\frac{\delta^2(\Lambda_i-(n-2))}{4(\delta\Lambda_i+n-2)}.$$

From Theorem 1.2, we can obtain an explicit upper bound on Λ_{k+1} in terms of $\Lambda_1,\cdots,\Lambda_k$ which is weaker than (1.21).

Corollary 1.2. *Let the assumptions and the notations be as in Theorem 1.2. It holds*

$$(1.24)\qquad \Lambda_{k+1}\leq A_{k+1}+\sqrt{A_{k+1}^2-B_{k+1}},$$

where

$$(1.25)\qquad A_{k+1}=\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i+\frac{2}{kS_k^2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}T_i,\ \ B_{k+1}=\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^2+\frac{4}{kS_k^2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}T_i\Lambda_i,$$

$$(1.26)\qquad S_k=2+\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_k^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)},\quad T_i=H_i\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right).$$

2. Proofs of the Results

Before proving our results, let us recall a method of constructing trial functions developed by Cheng-Yang (Cf. [CY3], [WX2]). We will state it in a quite general form since we believe that it could be useful for studying eigenvalues of the buckling problem of high orders on compact domains of complete submanifolds in a Euclidean space. Let M be an n-dimensional complete submanifold in an m-dimensional Euclidean space $I\!R^m$. Denote by $\langle,\rangle$ the canonical metric on $I\!R^m$ as well as that induced on M. Denote by Δ and ∇ the Laplacian and the gradient operator of M, respectively. Let Ω be a bounded connected domain of M with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and let ν be the outward unit normal vector field of $\partial\Omega$. For functions f and g on Ω, the *Dirichlet inner product* $(f,g)_D$ of f and g is given by

$$(f,g)_D=\int_\Omega\langle\nabla f,\ \nabla g\rangle.$$

The Dirichlet norm of a function f is defined by

$$||f||_D=\{(f,f)_D\}^{1/2}=\left(\int_\Omega|\nabla f|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} &(-\Delta)^l u = -\lambda \Delta u \quad \text{in} \;\; \Omega, \\ &u|_{\partial\Omega} = \left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = \cdots = \left.\frac{\partial^{l-1} u}{\partial \nu^{l-1}}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let

$$0 < \Lambda_1 \leq \Lambda_2 \leq \Lambda_3 \leq \cdots,$$

denote the successive eigenvalues, where each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity.

Let u_i be the i-th orthonormal eigenfunction of the problem (2.1) corresponding to the eigenvalue Λ_i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots$, that is, u_i satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &(-\Delta)^l u_i = -\Lambda_i \Delta u_i \;\; \text{in} \;\; \Omega, \\ &u_i|_{\partial\Omega} = \left.\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = \cdots \left.\frac{\partial^{l-1} u}{\partial \nu^{l-1}}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ &(u_i, u_j)_D = \int_\Omega \langle \nabla u_i, \nabla u_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \forall \; i, j. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

For $k = 1, \cdots, l$, let ∇^k denote the k-th covariant derivative operator on M, defined in the usual weak sense via an integration by parts formula. For a function f on Ω, the squared norm of $\nabla^k f$ is defined as (cf. [He])

$$\left|\nabla^k f\right|^2 = \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_k = 1}^{n} \left(\nabla^k f(e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_k})\right)^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ are orthonormal vector fieds locally defined on Ω. Define the Sobolev space $H_l^2(\Omega)$ by

$$H_l^2(\Omega) = \{f : \; f, \; |\nabla f|, \cdots, \left|\nabla^l f\right| \in L^2(\Omega)\}.$$

Then $H_l^2(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the norm $|| \cdot ||_{l,2}$:

$$||f||_{l,2} = \left(\int_\Omega \left(\sum_{k=0}^{l} |\nabla^k f|^2\right)\right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.4}$$

Consider the subspace $H_{l,D}^2(\Omega)$ of $H_l^2(\Omega)$ defined by

$$H_{l,D}^2(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in H_l^2(\Omega) : \; f|_{\partial\Omega} = \left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = \cdots \left.\frac{\partial^{l-1} u}{\partial \nu^{l-1}}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \right\}.$$

The operator $(-\Delta)^l$ defines a self-adjoint operator acting on $H_{l,D}^2(\Omega)$ with discrete eigenvalues $0 < \Lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \Lambda_k \leq \cdots$ for the buckling problem (2.1) and the eigenfunctions $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ defined in (2.2) form a complete orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space $H_{l,D}^2(\Omega)$. If $\phi \in H_{l,D}^2(\Omega)$ satisfies $(\phi, u_j)_D = 0$, $\forall j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$, then the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality tells us that

$$\Lambda_{k+1} ||\phi||_D^2 \leq \int_\Omega \phi(-\Delta)^l \phi. \tag{2.5}$$

For vector-valued functions $F = (f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_m)$, $G = (g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_m) : \Omega \to I\!\!R^m$, we define an inner product (F, G) by

$$(F, G) \equiv \int_\Omega \langle F, G \rangle = \int_\Omega \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} f_\alpha g_\alpha.$$

The norm of F is given by

$$||F|| = (F,F)^{1/2} = \left\{ \int_\Omega \sum_{\alpha=1}^m f_\alpha^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Let $\mathbf{H}_1^2(\Omega)$ be the Hilbert space of vector-valued functions given by

$$\mathbf{H}_1^2(\Omega) = \left\{ F = (f_1, \cdots, f_m) : \Omega \to I\!R^m;\ f_\alpha,\ |\nabla f_\alpha| \in L^2(\Omega),\ \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m \right\}$$

with norm

$$||F||_1 = \left(||F||^2 + \int_\Omega \sum_{\alpha=1}^m |\nabla f_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Observe that a vector field on Ω can be regarded as a vector-valued function from Ω to $I\!R^m$. Let $\mathbf{H}_{1,D}^2(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{H}_l^2(\Omega)$ be a subspace of $\mathbf{H}_l^2(\Omega)$ spanned by the vector-valued functions $\{\nabla u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, which form a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{H}_{1,D}^2(\Omega)$. For any $f \in H_{l,D}^2(\Omega)$, we have $\nabla f \in \mathbf{H}_{1,D}^2(\Omega)$ and for any $X \in \mathbf{H}_{1,D}^2(\Omega)$, there exists a function $f \in H_{l,D}^2(\Omega)$ such that $X = \nabla f$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let u_i and $\Lambda_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots,$ be as in (2.2), then*

$$0 \le \int_M u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i \le \Lambda_i^{(k-1)/(l-1)}, \quad k = 1, \cdots, l-1. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1. When $k \in \{1, \cdots, l-1\}$ is even, we have

$$\int_M u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i = \int_M u_i \Delta^k u_i = \int_M \left(\Delta^{k/2} u_i\right)^2 \ge 0. \tag{2.7}$$

On the other hand, if $k \in \{1, \cdots, l-1\}$ is odd, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i &= -\int_M u_i \Delta^k u_i \\ &= -\int_M \Delta^{(k-1)/2} u_i \Delta \left(\Delta^{(k-1)/2} u_i\right) \\ &= \int_M \left|\nabla \left(\Delta^{(k-1)/2} u_i\right)\right|^2 \\ &\ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the inequality at the left hand side of (2.6) holds. Observe that when k is even, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i &= \int_M \Delta^{k/2-1} u_i \Delta \left(\Delta^{k/2} u_i\right) \\ &= -\int_M \nabla \left(\Delta^{k/2-1} u_i\right) \nabla \left(\Delta^{k/2} u_i\right) \\ &\le \left(\int_M \left|\nabla \left(\Delta^{k/2-1} u_i\right)\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M \left|\nabla \left(\Delta^{k/2} u_i\right)\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(-\int_M \Delta^{k/2-1} u_i \Delta^{k/2} u_i\right)^{1/2} \left(-\int_M \Delta^{k/2} u_i \Delta^{k/2+1} u_i\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_M u_i(-\Delta)^{k-1} u_i\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M u_i(-\Delta)^{k+1} u_i\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

On the other hand, when n is odd, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i &= \int_M (-\Delta)^{(k-1)/2} u_i (-\Delta)^{(k+1)/2} u_i \\ &\leq \left(\int_M \left((-\Delta)^{(k-1)/2} u_i \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M \left((-\Delta)^{(k+1)/2} u_i \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_M u_i (-\Delta)^{k-1} u_i \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M u_i (-\Delta)^{k+1} u_i \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Thus we always have

$$\int_M u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i \leq \left(\int_M u_i (-\Delta)^{k-1} u_i \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_M u_i (-\Delta)^{k+1} u_i \right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.10}$$

When $k = 1$ or $l = 2$, the right hand side of (2.6) holds obviously. Now we consider the case that $l > 2$ and $k \geq 2$. We claim now that for any $k = 2, \cdots, l-1$, it holds

$$\left(\int_M u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i \right)^k \leq \left(\int_M u_i(-\Delta)^{k+1} u_i \right)^{k-1}. \tag{2.11}$$

Since

$$\int_\Omega u_i \Delta^2 u_i = \int_\Omega \Delta u_i \Delta u_i = -\int_\Omega \nabla \Delta u_i \nabla u_i,$$

we have from Schwarz inequality that

$$\left(\int_\Omega u_i \Delta^2 u_i \right)^2 \leq \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla \Delta u_i|^2 \right) \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_i|^2 \right) = -\int_\Omega \Delta u_i \Delta^2 u_i = \int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta^3 u_i). \tag{2.12}$$

Hence (2.11) holds when $k = 2$. Suppose that (2.11) holds for $k-1$, that is

$$\left(\int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta)^{k-1} u_i \right)^{k-1} \leq \left(\int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i \right)^{k-2}. \tag{2.13}$$

Substituting (2.13) into (2.10), we know that (2.6) is true for k. Using (2.6) repeatedly, we get

$$\int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta)^k u_i \leq \left(\int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta)^{k+1} u_i \right)^{(k-1)/k} \leq \cdots \leq \left(\int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta)^l u_i \right)^{(k-1)/(l-1)} = \Lambda_i^{(k-1)/(l-1)}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$, $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be three sequences of non-negative real numbers with $\{a_i\}$ decreasing and $\{b_i\}$ and $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^m$ increasing. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 b_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i c_i \right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i b_i c_i \right). \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. When $m = 1$, (2.14) holds trivally. Suppose that (2.14) holds when $m = k$, that is

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 b_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i c_i \right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i c_i \right). \tag{2.15}$$

Then when $m = k+1$, we have from (2.15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.16)\qquad & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i^2\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i b_i c_i\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i^2 b_i\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i c_i\right) \\
= & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i b_i c_i\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2 b_i\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i c_i\right) + a_{k+1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i b_i c_i \\
& -a_{k+1}^2 b_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i c_i + a_{k+1} b_{k+1} c_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2 - a_{k+1} c_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2 b_i \\
\geq & \ a_{k+1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i b_i c_i - a_{k+1}^2 b_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i c_i + a_{k+1} b_{k+1} c_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2 - a_{k+1} c_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2 b_i \\
= & -a_{k+1}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{k} (b_{k+1} - b_i) a_i c_i + a_{k+1} c_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^2 (b_{k+1} - b_i) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{k+1} a_i (b_{k+1} - b_i)(c_{k+1} a_i - a_{k+1} c_i) \\
\geq & \ 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Where in the last inequality we have used the fact that

$$a_{k+1} a_i (b_{k+1} - b_i)(c_{k+1} a_i - a_{k+1} c_i) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \cdots, k.$$

Thus (2.14) holds for $m = k+1$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

The following result is the so called *Reverse Chebyshev Inequality* (cf. [HLP]).

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are two real sequences with $\{a_i\}$ increasing and $\{b_i\}$ decreasing. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i \leq \frac{1}{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i\right). \tag{2.17}$$

We are now ready to prove the main results in this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. With the notations as above, we consider now the special case that Ω is a connected bounded domain in $I\!R^n$. Denote by $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ the coordinate functions on $I\!R^n$ and let us decompose the vector-valued functions $x_\alpha \nabla u_i$ as

$$x_\alpha \nabla u_i = \nabla h_{\alpha i} + W_{\alpha i}, \tag{2.18}$$

where $h_{\alpha i} \in H_{2,D}^l(\Omega)$, $\nabla h_{\alpha i}$ is the projection of $x_\alpha \nabla u_i$ in $\mathbf{H}_{1,D}^2(\Omega)$ and $W_{\alpha i} \perp \mathbf{H}_{1,D}^2(\Omega)$. Thus we have

$$W_{\alpha i}|_{\partial\Omega} \ = \ 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad (W_{\alpha i}, \nabla u) = \int_\Omega \langle W_{\alpha i}, \nabla u\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for any} \quad u \in H_{l,D}^2(\Omega) \tag{2.19}$$

and from the discussions in [CY3] and [WX2] we know that

$$\text{div } W_{\alpha i} = 0, \tag{2.20}$$

where for a vector field Z on Ω, div Z denotes the divergence of Z.

For each $\alpha = 1, \cdots, n$, $i = 1, \cdots, k$, consider the functions $\phi_{\alpha i} : \Omega \to I\!\!R$, given by

$$\phi_{\alpha i} = h_{\alpha i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{\alpha ij} u_j, \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$a_{\alpha ij} = \int_\Omega x_\alpha \langle \nabla u_i, \nabla u_j \rangle = a_{\alpha ji}. \tag{2.22}$$

We have

$$\phi_{\alpha i}|_{\partial\Omega} = \left.\frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha i}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = \cdots \left.\frac{\partial^{l-1} \phi_{\alpha i}}{\partial \nu^{l-1}}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{2.23}$$

$$(\phi_{\alpha i}, u_j)_D = \int_\Omega \langle \nabla \phi_{\alpha i}, \nabla u_j \rangle = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{2.24}$$

It then follows from the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality for Λ_{k+1} that

$$\Lambda_{k+1} \int_\Omega |\nabla \phi_{\alpha i}|^2 \le \int_D \phi_{\alpha i} (-\Delta)^l \phi_{\alpha i}, \quad \forall \alpha = 1, \cdots, n, \quad i = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{2.25}$$

Since div $W_{\alpha i} = 0$, we have from (2.18) and (2.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \phi_{\alpha i} &= \Delta h_{\alpha i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{\alpha ij} \Delta u_j \\ &= \operatorname{div}(x_\alpha \nabla u_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{\alpha ij} \Delta u_j \\ &= u_{i,\alpha} + x_\alpha \Delta u_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{\alpha ij} \Delta u_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_{i,\alpha} = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_\alpha}$. Thus we have

$$(-\Delta)^l \phi_{\alpha i} = (-1)^l \Delta^{l-1} (u_{i,\alpha} + x_\alpha \Delta u_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{\alpha ij} \Lambda_j \Delta u_j. \tag{2.26}$$

Since

$$\int_\Omega \phi_{\alpha i} \Delta u_j = -\int_\Omega \langle \nabla \phi_{\alpha i}, \nabla u_j \rangle = 0,$$

$$\Delta^{l-2}(x_\alpha \Delta u_i) = 2(l-2)(\Delta^{l-2} u_i)_{,\alpha} + x_\alpha \Delta^{l-1} u_i,$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \phi_{\alpha i} (-\Delta)^l \phi_{\alpha i} \\ &= \int_\Omega \phi_{\alpha i} (-1)^l \Delta^{l-1} (u_{i,\alpha} + x_\alpha \Delta u_i) \\ &= \int_\Omega h_{\alpha i} (-1)^l \Delta^{l-1} (u_{i,\alpha} + x_\alpha \Delta u_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{\alpha ij} \int_\Omega u_j (-\Delta)^l h_{\alpha i} \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_\Omega \Delta h_{\alpha i}(-1)^l \Delta^{l-2}\left(u_{i,\alpha}+x_\alpha \Delta u_i\right) - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{\alpha ij}\int_\Omega h_{\alpha i}(-\Delta)^l u_j \\
&= \int_\Omega \Delta h_{\alpha i}(-1)^l((\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha} + \Delta^{l-2}(x_\alpha \Delta u_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j a_{\alpha ij}\int_\Omega h_{\alpha i}\Delta u_j \\
&= \int_\Omega (-1)^l(u_{i,\alpha}+x_\alpha\Delta u_i)((\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}+\Delta^{l-2}(x_\alpha\Delta u_i)) - \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j a_{\alpha ij}\int_\Omega \langle \nabla h_{\alpha i}, \nabla u_j\rangle \\
&= \int_\Omega (-1)^l(u_{i,\alpha}+x_\alpha\Delta u_i)((2l-3)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}+x_\alpha\Delta^{l-1}u_i)) - \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j a_{\alpha ij}\int_\Omega \langle \nabla h_{\alpha i}, \nabla u_j\rangle \\
&= \int_\Omega (-1)^l((2l-3)(u_{i,\alpha}(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}+x_\alpha\Delta u_i(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}) + u_{i,\alpha}x_\alpha\Delta^{l-1}u_i + x_\alpha^2\Delta u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i) - \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j a_{\alpha ij}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Let us make some calculations. Since

$$\Delta^{l-1}(x_\alpha u_i) = 2(l-1)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha} + x_\alpha \Delta^{l-1}u_i,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_i(\Delta^{l-1}u_i)_{,\alpha} &= \int_\Omega x_\alpha u_i \Delta^{l-1}u_{i,\alpha} \\
&= \int_\Omega \Delta^{l-1}(x_\alpha u_i)u_{i,\alpha} \\
&= \int_\Omega \left(2(l-1)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha} + x_\alpha\Delta^{l-1}u_i\right)u_{i,\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

On the other hand, it holds

$$\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_i(\Delta^{l-1}u_i)_{,\alpha} = -\int_\Omega \Delta^{l-1}u_i(u_i + x_\alpha u_{i,\alpha}). \tag{2.29}$$

Combining (2.28) and (2.29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_i(\Delta^{l-1}u_i)_{,\alpha} \\
&= \int_M \left\{(l-1)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}u_{i,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_{i,\alpha}\Delta^{l-1}u_i &= -\int_\Omega u_i(\Delta^{l-1}u_i + x_\alpha(\Delta^{l-1}u_i)_{,\alpha}) \\
&= -\int_M \left\{(l-1)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}u_{i,\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

and consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega x_\alpha \Delta u_i(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha} &= \int_\Omega x_\alpha\Delta u_i\Delta^{l-2}u_{i,\alpha} \\
&= \int_\Omega \Delta^{l-2}(x_\alpha\Delta u_i)u_{i,\alpha} \\
&= \int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}\left(2(l-2)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha} + x_\alpha\Delta^{l-1}u_i\right) \\
&= \int_\Omega \left\{(l-3)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}u_{i,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Also, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega u_i x_\alpha^2 \Delta u_i &= -\int_\Omega x_\alpha^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 - 2\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_i u_{i,\alpha} \\ &= -\int_\Omega x_\alpha^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 + \int_\Omega u_i^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega x_\alpha^2 \Delta u_i \Delta^{l-1} u_i &= \int_\Omega u_i \Delta(x_\alpha^2 \Delta^{l-1} u_i) \\ &= \int_\Omega u_i (2\Delta^{l-1} u_i + x_\alpha^2 \Delta^l u_i + 4x_\alpha (\Delta^{l-1} u_i)_{,\alpha}) \\ &= \int_\Omega u_i (2\Delta^{l-1} u_i + (-1)^{l-1}\Lambda_i x_\alpha^2 \Delta u_i + 4x_\alpha (\Delta^{l-1} u_i)_{,\alpha}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Combining (2.30), (2.33) and (2.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega x_\alpha^2 \Delta u_i \Delta^{l-1} u_i \\ &= 4(l-1)\int_\Omega (\Delta^{l-2} u_i)_{,\alpha} u_{i,\alpha} + (-1)^{l-1}\Lambda_i \left\{-\int_\Omega x_\alpha^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 + \int_\Omega u_i^2\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Substituting (2.32), (2.33) and (2.35) into (2.27), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \phi_{\alpha i}(-\Delta)^l \phi_{\alpha i} &= \int_\Omega (-1)^l \left\{(-l+1) u_i \Delta^{l-1} u_i + (2l^2 - 4l + 3)(\Delta^{l-2} u_i)_{,\alpha} u_{i,\alpha}\right\} \\ &\quad + \Lambda_i \left\{\int_\Omega x_\alpha^2 |\nabla u_i|^2 - \int_\Omega u_i^2\right\} - \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j a_{\alpha ij}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

It is easy to see that

$$||x_\alpha \nabla u_i||^2 = ||\nabla h_{\alpha i}||^2 + ||W_{\alpha i}||^2, \quad ||\nabla h_{\alpha i}||^2 = ||\nabla \phi_{\alpha i}||^2 + \sum_{j=1}^k a_{\alpha ij}^2, \tag{2.37}$$

where for a vector field Z on Ω, $||Z||^2 = \int_\Omega |Z|^2$. Combining (2.25), (2.36) and (2.37), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)||\nabla \phi_{\alpha i}||^2 &\leq \int_\Omega (-1)^l \left\{(-l+1) u_i \Delta^{l-1} u_i + (2l^2 - 4l + 3)(\Delta^{l-2} u_i)_{,\alpha} u_{i,\alpha}\right\} \\ &\quad - \Lambda_i (||u_i||^2 - ||W_{\alpha i}||^2) + \sum_{j=1}^k (\Lambda_i - \Lambda_j) a_{\alpha ij}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Observe that $\nabla(x_\alpha u_i) = u_i \nabla x_\alpha + x_\alpha \nabla u_i \in \mathbf{H}_{1,D}^2(\Omega)$. For $A_{\alpha i} = \nabla(x_\alpha u_i - h_{\alpha i})$, we have

$$u_i \nabla x_\alpha = A_{\alpha i} - W_{\alpha i} \tag{2.39}$$

and so

$$||u_i||^2 = ||u_i \nabla x_\alpha||^2 = ||W_{\alpha i}||^2 + ||A_{\alpha i}||^2.$$

Because of $(\nabla u_{i,\alpha}, W_{\alpha i}) = 0$, it follows that

$$2||u_{i,\alpha}||^2 = -2\int_\Omega \langle u_i \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle = -2\int_\Omega \langle A_{\alpha i}, \nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle \leq \Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)} ||A_{\alpha i}||^2 + \frac{1}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}} ||\nabla u_{i,\alpha}||^2$$

which gives

$$-\Lambda_i||A_{\alpha i}||^2 \le -2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}||u_{i,\alpha}||^2 + \Lambda_i^{(l-3)/(l-1)}||\nabla u_{i,\alpha}||^2 \tag{2.40}$$

Introducing (2.40) into (2.38), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)||\nabla\phi_{\alpha i}||^2 \quad &\le \quad \int_\Omega (-1)^l \left\{(-l+1)u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i + (2l^2-4l+3)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}u_{i,\alpha}\right\} \\ &\quad -2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}||u_{i,\alpha}||^2 + \Lambda_i^{(l-3)/(l-1)}||\nabla u_{i,\alpha}||^2 + \sum_{j=1}^k(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)a_{\alpha ij}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}-2\int_\Omega x_\alpha\langle\nabla u_i,\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle &= 2\int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}^2 + 2\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_{i,\alpha}\Delta u_i \\ &= 2\int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}^2 + 2\int_\Omega u_i\Delta(x_\alpha u_{i,\alpha}) \\ &= 2\int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}^2 + 2\int_\Omega u_i x_\alpha(\Delta u_i)_{,\alpha} + 4\int_\Omega\langle u_i\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle \\ &= 2\int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}^2 - 2\int_\Omega \Delta u_i(u_i + x_\alpha u_{i,\alpha}) - 4\int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}\mathrm{div}(u_i\nabla x_\alpha) \\ &= 2\int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}^2 + 2 - 2\int_\Omega x_\alpha u_{i,\alpha}\Delta u_i - 4\int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}^2 \\ &= -2\int_\Omega u_{i,\alpha}^2 + 2 + 2\int_\Omega\langle\nabla u_i,\nabla(x_\alpha u_{i,\alpha})\rangle \\ &= 2 + 2\int_\Omega x_\alpha\langle\nabla u_i,\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$-2\int_\Omega x_\alpha\langle\nabla u_i,\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle = 1. \tag{2.42}$$

Set

$$d_{\alpha ij} = \int_\Omega\langle\nabla u_{i,\alpha},\nabla u_j\rangle;$$

then $d_{\alpha ij} = -d_{\alpha ji}$ and we have from (2.18), (2.20) and (2.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= -2\int_\Omega x_\alpha\langle\nabla u_i,\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle \\ &= -2\int_\Omega\langle\nabla h_{\alpha i},\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle \\ &= -2\int_\Omega\langle\nabla\phi_{\alpha i},\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\rangle - 2\sum_{j=1}^k a_{\alpha ij}d_{\alpha ij}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

Thus, we have

$$(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(1 + 2\sum_{j=1}^k a_{\alpha ij}d_{\alpha ij}\right) \tag{2.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= (\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(-2\nabla\phi_{\alpha i}, \left(\nabla u_{i,\alpha}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{\alpha ij}\nabla u_j\right)\right)\\ &\leq \delta(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^3\|\nabla\phi_{\alpha i}\|^2+\frac{1}{\delta}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\|\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\|^2-\sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{\alpha ij}^2\right),\end{aligned}$$

where δ is any positive constant. Substituting (2.41) into (2.44), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(1+2\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{\alpha ij}d_{\alpha ij}\right)\\ \leq\ & \delta(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(\int_\Omega (-1)^l\left\{(-l+1)u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i+(2l^2-4l+3)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}u_{i,\alpha}\right\}\right.\\ &\left.-2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\|u_{i,\alpha}\|^2+\Lambda_i^{(l-3)/(l-1)}\|\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\|^2+\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)a_{\alpha ij}^2\right)\\ &+\frac{1}{\delta}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\|\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\|^2-\sum_{j=1}^{k} d_{\alpha ij}^2\right),\end{aligned}$$

Summing on i from 1 to k and noticing the fact that $a_{\alpha ij}=a_{\alpha ji}, d_{\alpha ij}=-d_{\alpha ji}$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2-2\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)a_{\alpha ij}d_{\alpha ij}\\ \leq\ & \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(\int_\Omega (-1)^l\left\{(-l+1)u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i+(2l^2-4l+3)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}u_{i,\alpha}\right\}\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.-2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\|u_{i,\alpha}\|^2+\Lambda_i^{(l-3)/(l-1)}\|\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\|^2\right)-\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)^2a_{\alpha ij}^2\right)\\ &+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\|\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\|^2-\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)d_{\alpha ij}^2\right),\end{aligned}\tag{2.45}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\\ \leq\ & \delta\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(\int_\Omega (-1)^l\left\{(-l+1)u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i+(2l^2-4l+3)(\Delta^{l-2}u_i)_{,\alpha}u_{i,\alpha}\right\}\right.\\ &\left.-2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\|u_{i,\alpha}\|^2+\Lambda_i^{(l-3)/(l-1)}\|\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\|^2\right)+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\|\nabla u_{i,\alpha}\|^2,\end{aligned}\tag{2.46}$$

Taking sum for α from 1 to n, we get

$$n\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \delta\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(\int_\Omega(-1)^l\left\{n(-l+1)u_i\Delta^{l-1}u_i+(2l^2-4l+3)\langle\nabla(\Delta^{l-2}u_i),\nabla u_i\rangle\right\}\right.\\
&\quad\left.-2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}+\Lambda_i^{(l-3)/(l-1)}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}||\nabla u_{i,\alpha}||^2\right)+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}||\nabla u_{i,\alpha}||^2\\
&= \delta\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(-2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}+\Lambda_i^{(l-3)/(l-1)}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}||\nabla u_{i,\alpha}||^2+(2l^2+(n-4)l+3-n)\int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta)^{l-1}u_i\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}||\nabla u_{i,\alpha}||^2.
\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}||\nabla u_{i,\alpha}||^2 &= -\int_\Omega\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}u_{i,\alpha}\Delta u_{i,\alpha}\\
&= -\int_\Omega\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}u_{i,\alpha}(\Delta u_i)_{,\alpha}\\
&= \int_\Omega\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k}u_{i,\alpha\alpha}\Delta u_i\\
&= \int_\Omega(\Delta u_i)^2\\
&= \int_\Omega u_i\Delta^2u_i,
\end{aligned}$$

where $u_{i,\alpha\alpha}=\frac{\partial^2u_i}{\partial x_\alpha^2}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&n\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\\
&\leq \delta\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(-2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}+\Lambda_i^{(l-3)/(l-1)}\int_\Omega u_i\Delta^2u_i\right.\\
&\quad\left.+(2l^2+(n-4)l+3-n)\int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta)^{l-1}u_i\right)+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\int_\Omega u_i\Delta^2u_i.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.47}$$

Taking $k=2$ and $k=l-1$ in (2.6), respectively, one gets

$$\int_\Omega u_i(-\Delta)^{l-1}u_i\leq\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)},\quad \int_\Omega u_i\Delta^2u_i\leq\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}$$

which, combining with (2.47) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&n\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\\
&\leq \delta(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking

$$\delta = \frac{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}\right\}^{1/2}}{\left\{(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\right\}^{1/2}},$$

we get (1.13). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. It follows from (2.17) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)} \le \frac{1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}\right) \tag{2.48}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)} \le \frac{1}{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\right) \tag{2.49}$$

Introducing (2.48) and (2.49) into (1.13), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\\ \le\ &\frac{4(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)}{k^2n^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Solving this quadratic polynomial about Λ_{k+1}, one gets (1.14).

From (2.14), we have

$$\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\Lambda_i^{(l-2)/(l-1)}\right\}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}\right\} \le \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\right\}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\Lambda_i\right\}.$$

It then follows from (1.13) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2 \le \frac{4(2l^2+(n-4)l+2-n)}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\Lambda_i,$$

which implies (1.15). This completes the proof of Corollary 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We use the same notations as in the beginning of this section and take M to be the unit n-sphere $S^n(1)$. Let $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1}$ be the standard coordinate functons of the Euclidean space $I\!\!R^{n+1}$; then

$$S^n(1) = \left\{(x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}) \in I\!\!R^{n+1}; \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}x_\alpha^2 = 1\right\}.$$

It is well known that

$$\Delta x_\alpha = -nx_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1,\cdots,n+1. \tag{2.50}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we decompose the vector-valued functions $x_\alpha\nabla u_i$ as

$$x_\alpha\nabla u_i = \nabla h_{\alpha i} + W_{\alpha i}, \tag{2.51}$$

where $h_{\alpha i} \in H^2_{l,D}(\Omega)$, $\nabla h_{\alpha i}$ is the projection of $x_\alpha \nabla u_i$ in $\mathbf{H}^2_{1,D}(\Omega)$, $W_{\alpha i} \perp \mathbf{H}^2_{1,D}(\Omega)$ and

$$W_{\alpha i}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \text{div}\, W_{\alpha i} = 0. \tag{2.52}$$

We also consider the functions $\phi_{\alpha i} : \Omega \to I\!R$, given by

$$\phi_{\alpha i} = h_{\alpha i} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{\alpha ij} u_j, \quad b_{\alpha ij} = \int_\Omega x_\alpha \langle \nabla u_i, \nabla u_j \rangle = b_{\alpha ji}. \tag{2.53}$$

Then

$$\phi_{\alpha i}|_{\partial\Omega} = \left.\frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha i}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = \cdots \left.\frac{\partial^{l-1} \phi_{\alpha i}}{\partial \nu^{l-1}}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

$$(\phi_{\alpha i}, u_j)_D = \int_\Omega \langle \nabla \phi_{\alpha i}, \nabla u_j \rangle = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \cdots, k$$

and we have the basic Rayleigh-Ritz inequality for Λ_{k+1} :

$$\Lambda_{k+1} \int_\Omega |\nabla \phi_{\alpha i}|^2 \leq \int_D \phi_{\alpha i} (-\Delta)^l \phi_{\alpha i}, \quad \forall \alpha = 1, \cdots, n, \quad i = 1, \cdots, k. \tag{2.54}$$

We have

$$\Delta \phi_{\alpha i} = \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i \rangle + x_\alpha \Delta u_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{\alpha ij} \Delta u_j \tag{2.55}$$

and as in the proof of (2.27),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \phi_{\alpha i} (-\Delta)^l \phi_{\alpha i} \\ &= \int_\Omega (-1)^l (\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i \rangle + x_\alpha \Delta u_i) \Delta^{l-2} (\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i \rangle + x_\alpha \Delta u_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j b^2_{\alpha ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

For a function g on Ω, we have (cf. (2.31) in [WX2])

$$\Delta \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla g \rangle = -2 x_\alpha \Delta g + \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla((\Delta + n - 2) g) \rangle. \tag{2.57}$$

For each $q = 0, 1, \cdots$, thanks to (2.50) and (2.57), there are polynomials F_q and G_q of degree q such that

$$\Delta^q (\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i \rangle + x_\alpha \Delta u_i) = x_\alpha F_q(\Delta) \Delta u_i + \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla (G_q(\Delta) u_i) \rangle. \tag{2.58}$$

It is obvious that

$$F_0 = 1, \quad G_0 = 1. \tag{2.59}$$

It follows from (2.50) and (2.57) that

$$\Delta (x_\alpha \Delta u_i + \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i \rangle) = x_\alpha (\Delta - (n+2)) \Delta u_i + \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla ((3\Delta + n - 2) u_i) \rangle \tag{2.60}$$

which gives

$$F_1(t) = t - (n+2), \quad G_1(t) = 3t + n - 2. \tag{2.61}$$

Also, when $q \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta^q(\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i\rangle + x_\alpha \Delta u_i) \\
= \; & \Delta(\Delta^{q-1}(\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i\rangle + x_\alpha \Delta u_i)) \\
= \; & \Delta(x_\alpha F_{q-1}(\Delta)\Delta u_i + \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla(G_{q-1}(\Delta)u_i)\rangle) \\
= \; & x_\alpha((\Delta - n)F_{q-1}(\Delta) - 2G_{q-1}(\Delta))\Delta u_i + \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla(((\Delta + n - 2)G_{q-1}(\Delta) + 2\Delta F_{q-1}(\Delta))u_i)\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{2.62}$$

which, combining with (2.58), implies that

$$F_q(\Delta) = (\Delta - n)F_{q-1}(\Delta) - 2G_{q-1}(\Delta), \quad q = 2, \cdots, \tag{2.63}$$

$$G_q(\Delta) = (\Delta + n - 2)G_{q-1}(\Delta) + 2\Delta F_{q-1}(\Delta), \quad q = 2, \cdots. \tag{2.64}$$

It then follows from (2.63) and (2.64) that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_q(\Delta) &= (\Delta - n)F_{q-1}(\Delta) - 2((\Delta + n - 2)G_{q-2}(\Delta) + 2\Delta F_{q-2}(\Delta)) \\
&= (\Delta - n)F_{q-1}(\Delta) + (\Delta + n - 2)(F_{q-1}(\Delta) - (\Delta - n)F_{q-2}(\Delta)) - 4\Delta F_{q-2}(\Delta) \\
&= (2\Delta - 2)F_{q-1}(\Delta) - (\Delta^2 + 2\Delta - n(n-2))F_{q-2}(\Delta)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G_q(\Delta) &= (\Delta + n - 2)G_{q-1}(\Delta) + 2\Delta((\Delta - n)F_{q-2}(\Delta) - 2G_{q-2}(\Delta)) \\
&= (\Delta + n - 2)G_{q-1}(\Delta) + (\Delta - n)(G_{q-1}(\Delta) - (\Delta + n - 2)G_{q-2}(\Delta)) - 4\Delta G_{q-2}(\Delta) \\
&= (2\Delta - 2)G_{q-1}(\Delta) - (\Delta^2 + 2\Delta - n(n-2))G_{q-2}(\Delta).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$F_q(t) = (2t - 2)F_{q-1}(t) - (t^2 + 2t - n(n-2))F_{q-2}(t), \tag{2.65}$$

$$G_q(t) = (2t - 2)G_{q-1}(t) - (t^2 + 2t - n(n-2))G_{q-2}(t), \quad q = 2, \cdots. \tag{2.66}$$

That is, the polynomials F_q and G_q are defined inductively by (1.17)-(1.19). Substituting

$$\Delta^{l-2}(\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i\rangle + x_\alpha \Delta u_i) = x_\alpha F_{l-2}(\Delta)\Delta u_i + \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla(G_{l-2}(\Delta)u_i)\rangle \tag{2.67}$$

into (2.56), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \phi_{\alpha i}(-\Delta)^l \phi_{\alpha i} \\
= \; & \int_\Omega (-1)^l(\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i\rangle\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla(G_{l-2}(\Delta)u_i)\rangle + \langle x_\alpha \nabla x_\alpha, \Delta u_i \nabla(G_{l-2}(\Delta)u_i) + (F_{l-2}(\Delta)\Delta u_i)\nabla u_i\rangle) \\
& + \int_\Omega (-1)^l x_\alpha^2 \Delta u_i F_{l-2}(\Delta)(\Delta u_i) - \sum_{j=1}^k \Lambda_j b_{\alpha ij}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{2.68}$$

Summing over α and noticing

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} x_\alpha^2 = 1, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i\rangle\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla(G_{l-2}(\Delta)u_i)\rangle = \langle \nabla u_i, \nabla(G_{l-2}(\Delta)u_i)\rangle, \tag{2.69}$$

we get from (1.20) and (2.6) that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \int_\Omega \phi_{\alpha i}(-\Delta)^l \phi_{\alpha i} \tag{2.70}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_\Omega (-1)^l \langle \nabla u_i, \nabla (G_{l-2}(\Delta) u_i)\rangle + \int_\Omega (-1)^l \Delta u_i F_{l-2}(\Delta)(\Delta u_i) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j b_{\alpha ij}^2 \\
&= \int_\Omega (-1)^{l-1} u_i \Delta (G_{l-2}(\Delta) u_i) + \int_\Omega (-1)^l u_i \Delta (F_{l-2}(\Delta)(\Delta u_i)) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j b_{\alpha ij}^2 \\
&= \int_\Omega (-1)^l u_i \left(\Delta (F_{l-2}(\Delta) - (G_{l-2}(\Delta)\right) (\Delta u_i) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j b_{\alpha ij}^2 \\
&= \int_\Omega (-1)^l u_i \left(\Delta^{l-1} + a_{l-2}\Delta^{l-2} + \cdots + a_1 \Delta + a_0\right)(\Delta u_i) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j b_{\alpha ij}^2 \\
&= \Lambda_i + \int_\Omega (-1)^l u_i \left(a_{l-2}\Delta^{l-2} + \cdots + a_1 \Delta + a_0\right)(\Delta u_i) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j b_{\alpha ij}^2 \\
&\le \Lambda_i + \sum_{j=0}^{l-2} |a_j| \int_\Omega u_i (-\Delta)^{j+1} u_i - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j b_{\alpha ij}^2 \\
&\le \Lambda_i + \sum_{j=0}^{l-2} |a_j| \Lambda_i^{j/(l-1)} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \Lambda_j b_{\alpha ij}^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe from (2.51) and (2.53) that

$$
||x_\alpha \nabla u_i||^2 = ||\nabla h_{\alpha i}||^2 + ||W_{\alpha i}||^2 = ||\nabla \phi_{\alpha i}||^2 + ||W_{\alpha i}||^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{\alpha ij}^2 . \tag{2.71}
$$

Summing over α, one gets

$$
1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \left(||\nabla \phi_{\alpha i}||^2 + ||W_{\alpha i}||^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{\alpha ij}^2 \right). \tag{2.72}
$$

Set

$$
Z_{\alpha i} = \nabla \langle \nabla x_\alpha,\ \nabla u_i\rangle - \frac{n-2}{2} x_\alpha \nabla u_i, \quad c_{\alpha ij} = \int_\Omega \langle \nabla u_j,\ Z_{\alpha i}\rangle; \tag{2.73}
$$

then $c_{\alpha ij} = -c_{\alpha ji}$ (cf. Lemma in [WX2]). By using the same arguments as in the proof of (2.37) in [WX2], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)^2 \left(2||\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i\rangle||^2 + \int_\Omega \left\langle \nabla x_\alpha^2,\ \Delta u_i \nabla u_i\right\rangle + (n-2)||x_\alpha \nabla u_i||^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} b_{\alpha ij} c_{\alpha ij} \right) \\
&\quad \le \delta (\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)^3 ||\nabla \phi_{\alpha i}||^2 + \frac{\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i}{\delta} \left(||Z_{\alpha i}||^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{\alpha ij}^2 \right) + (n-2)(\Lambda_{k+1} - \Lambda_i)^2 ||W_{\alpha i}||^2
\end{aligned} \tag{2.74}
$$

where δ is any positive constant. Since

$$
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} ||\langle \nabla x_\alpha, \nabla u_i\rangle||^2 = \int_\Omega |\nabla u_i|^2 = 1, \tag{2.75}
$$

we have by summing over α in (2.74) from 1 to $n+1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}(2.76)\qquad &(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(n+2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{\alpha ij}c_{\alpha ij}\right)\\ \leq\ &\delta\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^3||\nabla\phi_{\alpha i}||^2+\frac{\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i}{\delta}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\left(||Z_{\alpha i}||^2-\sum_{j=1}^{k}c_{\alpha ij}^2\right)\\ &+(n-2)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2||W_{\alpha i}||^2.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.57) that

$$\begin{aligned}(2.77)\qquad &\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}||\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle||^2\\ =\ &-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\Delta\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\\ =\ &-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\left(-2x_\alpha\Delta u_i+\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla(\Delta u_i)\rangle+(n-2)\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\ \nabla u_i\rangle\right)\\ =\ &-\int_\Omega\langle\nabla u_i,\nabla(\Delta u_i)\rangle-(n-2)||\nabla u_i||^2\\ =\ &\int_\Omega u_i\Delta^2u_i-(n-2)\\ \leq\ &\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}(2.78)\qquad &\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}||Z_{\alpha i}||^2\\ =\ &\int_\Omega\left|\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle-\frac{n-2}{2}x_\alpha\nabla u_i\right|^2\\ =\ &\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\left(||\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle||^2-(n-2)\int_\Omega\langle\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle,\ x_\alpha\nabla u_i\rangle+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}||x_\alpha\nabla u_i||^2\right)\\ \leq\ &\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)+(n-2)+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}=\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle^2 &= \int_\Omega\langle\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\nabla u_i,\nabla x_\alpha\rangle\\ &= -\int_\Omega x_\alpha\ \mathrm{div}(\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\nabla u_i)\\ &= -\int_\Omega\langle x_\alpha\nabla u_i,\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\rangle-\int_\Omega x_\alpha\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\Delta u_i\\ &= -\int_\Omega\langle\nabla h_{\alpha i}+W_{\alpha i},\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\rangle-\int_\Omega x_\alpha\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\Delta u_i\\ &= -\int_\Omega\langle\nabla h_{\alpha i},\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega\langle\nabla x_\alpha^2,\nabla u_i\rangle\Delta u_i,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$1=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle^2=-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega\langle\nabla h_{\alpha i},\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\rangle$$

which gives

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}||\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle||^2>0.$$

It then follows from (2.77) that $\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)>0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}1&=-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\int_\Omega\langle\nabla h_{\alpha i},\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\rangle\\&\leq\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\left(\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)\right)||\nabla h_{\alpha i}||^2+\frac{1}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}||\nabla\langle\nabla x_\alpha,\nabla u_i\rangle\rangle||^2\right)\\&=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\left((\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)\right)||\nabla h_{\alpha i}||^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}||\nabla h_{\alpha i}||^2\leq-\frac{1}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}\tag{2.79}$$

and consequently, one has

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}||W_{\alpha i}||^2=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\left(||x_\alpha\nabla u_i||^2-||\nabla h_{\alpha i}||^2\right)\leq1-\frac{1}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}.\tag{2.80}$$

From $b_{\alpha ij}=b_{\alpha ji},\ c_{\alpha ij}=-c_{\alpha ji}$, we have

$$2\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2b_{\alpha ij}c_{\alpha ij}=-2\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)b_{\alpha ij}c_{\alpha ij}\tag{2.81}$$

$$\delta\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)b_{\alpha ij}^2=-\delta\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)^2b_{\alpha ij}^2.\tag{2.82}$$

Combining (2.54), (2.70) and (2.72), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)||\nabla\phi_{\alpha i}||^2\\&\leq\sum_{j=0}^{l-2}|a_j|\Lambda_i^{j/(l-1)}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\Lambda_i||W_{\alpha i}||^2+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)b_{\alpha ij}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{2.83}$$

We have by substituting (2.83) into (2.76) that

$$(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(n+2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}b_{\alpha ij}c_{\alpha ij}\right)\tag{2.84}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq\ & \delta(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(\sum_{j=0}^{l-2}|a_j|\Lambda_i^{j/(l-1)}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}(\Lambda_i-\Lambda_j)b_{\alpha ij}^2\right)\\
&+\frac{\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i}{\delta}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}\left(||Z_{\alpha i}||^2-\sum_{j=1}^{k}c_{\alpha ij}^2\right)+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2(\delta\Lambda_i+n-2)||W_{\alpha i}||^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by summing over i from 1 to k and noticing (2.78), (2.80), (2.81) and (2.82), we infer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\\
\leq\ & \delta(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\sum_{j=0}^{l-2}|a_j|\Lambda_i^{j/(l-1)}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i}{\delta}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}||Z_{\alpha i}||^2\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2(\delta\Lambda_i+n-2)||W_{\alpha i}||^2\\
\leq\ & \delta\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\sum_{j=0}^{l-2}|a_j|\Lambda_i^{j/(l-1)}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i}{\delta}\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right)\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2(\delta\Lambda_i+n-2)\left(1-\frac{1}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.85)\qquad & \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(2+\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}\right)\\
\leq\ & \delta\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2H_i+\frac{1}{\delta}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where H_i is given by (1.22). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Taking

$$
\delta=\frac{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right)\right\}^{1/2}}{\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2H_i\right\}^{1/2}},
$$

in (1.21), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.86)\qquad & \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(2+\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}\right)\\
\leq\ & 2\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2H_i\right\}^{1/2}\times\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right)\right\}^{1/2},
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
2+\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}\geq 2+\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_k^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}=S_k,\ \ i=1,\cdots,k,
$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\left(2+\frac{n-2}{\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}-(n-2)}\right)\geq S_k\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2 \tag{2.87}$$

and we infer from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2H_i\right\}\times\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right)\right\}\\
\leq\ &\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\right\}\times\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)H_i\left(\Lambda_i^{1/(l-1)}+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\right)\right\}\\
=\ &\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\right\}\times\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)T_i\right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.88}$$

where S_k and T_i are defined as in (1.26). Substituting (2.87) and (2.88) into (2.86), one gets

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)^2\leq\frac{4}{S_k^2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\Lambda_{k+1}-\Lambda_i)T_i,$$

where A_{k+1} and B_{k+1} are given by (1.25). Solving this quadratic polynomial about Λ_{k+1}, we get (1.24).

Acknowledgements. The fourth author would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences for its hospitality and CAPES.

References

[A1] M. S. Ashbaugh, Isoperimetric and universal inequalities for eigenvalues, in Spectral theory and geometry (Edingurgh, 1998), E. B. Davies and Yu Safalov eds,. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. **273**, Cambridge Univ. Press, cambridge, 1999, pp. 95-139.

[A2] M. S. Ashbaugh, Universal eigenvalue bounds of Payne-Pólya-Weinberger, Hile-Protter and H C Yang, Proc. India Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **112** (2002), 3-30.

[AH1] M. S. Ashbaugh and L. Hermi, A unified approach to universal inequalities for eigenvalues of elliptic operators, Pacific J. Math. **217**(2004), 201-219.

[AH2] M. S. Ashbaugh and L. Hermi, Harrell-Stubbe type inequalities for the discrete spectrum of a self-adjoint operator, http:// arxiv.org/abs/0712.4396.

[CY1] Q. M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, Estimates on eigenvalues of Laplacian, Math. Ann. **331** (2005), 445-460 .

[CY2] Q. M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, Inequalities for eigenvalues of a clamped plate problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **358**(2006), 2625-2635.

[CY3] Q. M. Cheng and H. C. Yang, Universal bounds for eigenvalues of a buckling problem, Commun. Math. Phsy. **262** (2006), 663-675.

[CQ] Z. C. Chen and C. L. Qian, On the upper bound of eigenvalues for elliptic equations with higher orders, J. Math. Ana. Appl. **186** (1994), 821-834.

[H] E. M. Harrell, Some geometric bounds on eigenvalue gaps, Commun. Part. Differ. Equ. **18** (1993), 179-198.

[HLP] G. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, Inequalities, second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[HM1] E. M. Harrell and P. L. Michel, Commutator bouds for eigenvalues, with applications to spectral geometry, Commun. Part. Differ. Equ. **19** (1994), 2037-2055.

[HM2] E. M. Harrell and P. L. Michel, Commutator bounds for eigenvalues of some differential operators, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 168(eds) G Ferreyra, G R Goldstein and F Neubrander (New York: Marcel Dekker) (1995) pp. 235-244.

[HS] E. M. Harrell and J. Stubbe, On trace inequalities and the universal eigenvalue estimates for some partial differential operators, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **349**(1997), 1797-1809.

[He] E. Hebey, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 5. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. x+309 pp.

[HP] G. N. Hile and M. H. Protter, Inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **29** (1980), 523-538.

[HY] G. N. Hile and R. Z. Yeh, Inequalities for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator, Pacific J. Math. **112** (1984), 115-133.

[LP] M. Levitin and L. Parnovski, Commutators, spectral trace identities, and universal estimates for eigenvalues, J. Funct. Analysis, **192**(2002), 425-445.

[PPW1] L. E. Payne, G. Pólya and H. F. Weinberger, sur le quotient de deux fréquences propres cosécutives, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris **241** (1955), 917-919.

[PPW2] L. E. Payne, G. Pólya and H. F. Weinberger, On the ratio of consecutive eigenvalues, J. Math. and Phys. **35** (1956), 289-298.

[WX1] Q. Wang, C. Xia, Universal bounds for eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator on Riemannian manifolds. J. Funct. Ana. **245** (2007), 334-352.

[WX2] Q. Wang, C. Xia, Universal bounds for eigenvalues of the buckling problem on spherical domains, Comm. Math. Phsy. **270** (2007), 759-775.

[Y] H. C. Yang, An estimate of the difference between consecutive eigenvalues, preprint IC/91/60 of ICTP, Trieste, 1991.

Jürgen Jost (jost@mis.mpg.de), Xianqing Li-Jost (xli-jost@mis.mpg.de)
Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

Qiaoling Wang (wang@mat.unb.br)
Departamento de Matemática, UnB, 70910-900, Brasília-DF, Brazil

Changyu Xia (xia@mat.unb.br) Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, 04103 Leipzig, Germany, and Departamento de Matemática, UnB, 70910-900, Brasília-DF, Brazil